

**Neises Construction Corp. and
Indiana/Kentucky/Ohio Regional Council of
Carpenters.** Cases 13-CA-135991, 13-CA-
139977, and 13-RC-135485

CORRECTION

On September 11, 2017, the National Labor Relations Board issued a Decision, Order, and Direction in the above-entitled proceeding in which an error appears. On p. 10, in the last paragraph of the right column, text is inadvertently omitted. The paragraph should read:

I find that Respondent's handout is objectionable. First of all, the handout suggests that unit employees' current wages and benefits will be completely irrelevant in bargaining with the Union. To the contrary, the employer may make changes to existing benefits only as the result of a collective-bargaining agreement or reaching an impasse in good faith bargaining. In suggesting that the Union must trade in existing benefits in order to obtain additional benefits, Respondent was strongly suggesting to employees that choosing union representation would be futile and was a threat that employees would likely lose their existing benefits if the Union won the election, *Plastronics, Inc.* 233 NLRB 155, 155-156 (1977).

Please substitute the attached pages 10-15 for those previously issued.

Dated, Washington, D.C. September 14, 2017